Interview Summary	Application No. Application No. O9/825,244				
	Examiner	<u> </u>	Singh et al. Art Unit		
	Joyce Tune	g	1637		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):					
(1) Joyce Tung	(3)				
(2) <u>Stephen Macevicz</u>					
Date of Interview Feb 14, 2003					
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) X Personal [copy is given to 1) applicant 2) X applicant's representative]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) $\overline{}$ Yes e) $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ No. If yes, brief description:					
Claim(s) discussed:					
Identification of prior art discussed:					
Agreement with respect to the claims (f) was reached	a) was not rea	ched b)	. N/Δ		
Agreement with respect to the claims file was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:					
The new matter issues are solved. The prior art is discussed. Claims 30-36 appear to be free of prior art.					
	-				
		,			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)					
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).					
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached					
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.		Examiner's	s signature, if re	quired	